UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,508	11/02/2001	Ralph H. Reese	33267.00005.CON	6178
	7590 05/11/200 NGS, JANOFSKY & V	EXAMINER		
875 15th Street, NW			PHAN, JOSEPH T	
Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/002,508	REESE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		00021111:111/41	2014
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE	REPLY FILED <u>20 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.
have t under set for may re	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076 sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exist CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). CE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of the chartened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. 🛚	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further column than raise the issue of pour metter (age NOTE halo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	
	 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying the issues for
_	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).	
4. 🔲	•		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 📙	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
6. ∐ ☑	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	-
7. 🛚	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 79-99.		r be entered and an explanation of
ΔEFII	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		condition for allowance because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	IRTIS KUNTZ/ ervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly added limitation further defines applicant's invention which would require further consideration and/or search.